Appeal:

FCC Docket Number 02-6

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JUN 2 7 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

Casa Arizona 2932 N.14 St. Phoenix, AZ 85014 602 230 9194 Entity# 16026957 RN 0012875399

Contact Person: Kent Weisner

Project Engineer Box 1747 Kirtland, NM 87417 505 598 6442 Office 505 598 0049 Fax weisken@hotmail.com

Funding Year 2006. Date of letter, 04/26/06. App. # 497394 / 497397

Funding Commitment Decision Explanation: "A significant portion of the FRN is a request for an ineligible entity Casa Arizona, which is not eligible to receive funding based on the program rules for eligible entities."

Appeal: One.

The above statement is incomplete. It does not state **why** this entity is ineligible. The failure to give a reason for the decision to find Casa Arizona ineligible violates the Due Process protection found in the Bill of Rights as protected under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

The Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment applies to the Federal Government. USAC is under contract to act in behalf of the FCC and is required by Law to meet standards under which all government agencies operate.

Fair Process is required for intentional acts of the government or its employees. By not furnishing an explanation as to the reason behind finding this eligible entity as ineligible, we are at an unfair disadvantage. This has, in effect:

• The decision to declare these School Entities as ineligible is arbitrary and the individuals at this School are denied an **opportunity to be heard.** The children, through this violation on USACs part, are also denied the right under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, of the **opportunity to affect the judgment or result.**

USAC has violated the Laws set forth under the Constitution of the United States and in so doing, violated the Civil Rights of the individual children that are Wards of the Federal Government.

We ask that this entity be reinstated to eligible status.

ac. cl Copies rec'd

List A B C D E

Appeal: Two.

The PIA process is in violation of Procedural Due Process as found in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. When a decision is made that negatively impacts an entity, such as finding that entity ineligible, the following violations occur:

- The individual students, through this violation on USACs part, are denied the right under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, of their right to: opportunity to affect the judgment or result.
- This is a violation of the concept of procedural fairness.
- The PIA process fails to give sufficient notification to the affected entity and the individual children. This is a violation of the concept of adequate notification of proceedings.

Since USAC has trampled the Civil Rights of these orphaned children by their negligence or willful disregard of Federal Laws governing Due Process, the School section of this Unaccompanied Alien Children facility should be reinstated to its eligible status and funded.

Appeal: Three.

Since we have no information on why Casa Arizona was deemed an ineligible entity, we will make assumptions based on past experiences with USAC.

USAC is in violation of the Federal Court Mandated Flores Settlements and does not recognize these UAC Programs as having on campus Schools.

The Federal Government can not discriminate against its Wards by not giving them equal rights to social services, health and other areas that include education. The Federal Government has even given them a status under the McKinney Vento Homeless Act where they are placed under Title I of The No Child Left Behind-Act. Through McKinney Vento, USDA has deemed them poverty level for the Free Breakfast and Lunch Program. This could not happen unless these are deemed to have schools on campus.

• Only USAC is not recognizing the School at this UAC facility.

Evidence of USACs recognition can be found in the third party verification question (s). If USAC asks only for verification that this Program is in compliance with the Flores Settlement, then The Department of Health and Human Services / Division of Unaccompanied Alien Children Services Director (Ms Dunn) can answer "Yes". This would mean USAC is in compliance with Federal Law.

On the other hand, if USAC asks the question by referring to The NCLB Act and if these are schools as defined in (18) and (38), they would receive a "No" answer, because these are long term care facilities. This type of question indicates that USAC does not recognize the Flores Settlement and is in violation of Federal Law.

This also holds true for the Arizona Dept. of Health Services.

I ask that this Department of Health and Human Services Facility be reinstated to eligible and these children be given equal access to e-rate funds afforded to all eligible children in American School systems.

Appeal: Four.

These are Department of Homeland Security, Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Refugee Resettlement, Division of Unaccompanied Alien Children facilities. The children are wards of the United States Government. The Flores Settlement clearly spells out the responsibilities for all parties involved. The Flores Settlement also clearly spells out the Rights and the Services as they pertain to the children.

These children must be afforded the same and equal educational opportunities that are established in the educational system found throughout the United States.

NO organization or individual can violate the RIGHT to equal education as found in Federal and State Law.

Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974 (EEOA).

- The EEOA prohibits specific discriminatory conduct, including segregating students on the basis of race, color or national origin, and discrimination against faculty and staff. Furthermore, the EEOA requires school districts to take action to overcome students' language barriers that impede equal participation in educational programs.
- If, a private School receives Federal funds, it is subject to the federal statutes prohibiting discrimination by Federal fund recipients: Title VI, Title IX, Section 504. Private schools are also covered by Title III of the ADA

The Division of Unaccompanied Alien Children has no choice but to verify this facility as to complying or not complying with the Flores Settlement because it is their fiduciary duty to act on the behalf of these children. Southwest Key has no choice but to honor and follow the Flores Settlement. The Universal Services Administrative Company has no choice but to honor the Flores Settlement as it is Court Mandated.

For any entity or individual, found in violation of their duties, it would constitute a criminal act that must be reported to the Department of Justice and all interested parties such as the American Civil Liberties Union. (ACLU was instrumental in the three legal actions resulting in the Flores Settlement)

We ask that this School found on the campus of this UAC facility, be reinstated to eligible and funded.

Debra Weisner Debra Weisner Dechard

CC: M Dunn, DUCS

Southwest Key Information, UAC (UAMP):

Equal Educational Opportunities for our Children

Revised 06/21/06

Edited and added information by: Susana Ortiz-Ang, Dept. of Unaccompanied Alien Children Services (DUCS) of The Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) and under The Department of Health and Human Services. (DHHS). Authority is established by Section 462 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002.

E-mail Letter from Susan Ortiz-Ang: DUCS.

February 17, 2006 Dear Mr. Weisner:

Thank you for keeping us informed.

There are a couple of things in your document that need correction. The Division of Unaccompanied Children's Services is not under the Department of Homeland Security but the US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Also, section 462 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 is the legislative authority under which this program was established by Congress. We are bound by the Flores Settlement Agreement in regards to the type of care and services the children get, but our legislative authority comes from section 462.

Regards, Susana

Susana M. Ortiz-Ang

Office of Refugee Resettlement Division of Unaccompanied Children Services

Tel: (202) 401-5329 Fax: (202) 401-1022

Southwest Key Schools is the administration for Casa Schools listed in this document. Southwest Key Programs Inc. www.swkey.org a not-for-profit company, and is the parent organization. The decision to separate the School Entity from the Programs was made by our e-rate office weisken@hotmail.com. This decision was made in order to clarify and simplify the grant (including e-rate) application process.

- The Flores Settlement provided the legal means to identify the School from the long term care Program. This is for identification purposes only and is not meant to divorce the School from the Program. The Federal Court Mandate created and established these Department of Homeland Security Section 462, (www.pfir.org/2002-hr5005) Unaccompanied Alien Children facilities.
- See Flores Settlement at, www.centerforhumanrights.org/children/ Select Flores v Ashcroft, EXHIBIT I, Part 4 (page 15) establishes the on-site School that must be in place under Federal Law. at each Program facility. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, (now reaffirmed as The No Child Left Behind Act of 2001) was the guide for writing this section. The following is the Part 4:

EXHIBIT I Part 4: "Educational services appropriate to the minor's level of development, and communication skills in a structured classroom setting, Monday through Friday, which concentrates primarily on the development of basic academic competencies and secondarily on English Language Training (ELT). The educational program shall include instruction and educational and other reading materials in such languages as needed. Basic academic areas should include Science, Social Studies, Math, Reading, Writing and Physical Education. The program shall provide minors with appropriate reading materials in languages other than English for use during the minor's leisure time."

Please note the words "structured classroom setting". Verify at: www.centerforhumanrights.net:8080/centerforhumanrights/children and click on Flores v Ashcroft. Hores v Ashcroft. Meese and Reno are collectively known as the Flores Settlement.

- These are legal entities under Federal Law and Title 20 Section 7801 Parts (18) & (38).as related to Exhibit 1, Part 4 of the Flores Settlement. To not comply with the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974 (EEOA) would violate the Civil Rights of each child in the care of the Federal Government.
- The controlling Federal Government Division is the Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Refugee Resettlement (ORR) www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/orr/programs/uac.htm (and see below)
- The direct control is Division of Unaccompanied Children Services (DUCS). For verification that these are "long term care facilities" that meet the requirements set forth in the Flores Settlement, ie: contain Schools, contact Maureen Dunn (Director) MDunn@acf.hhs.gov, Susana Ortiz-Ang, sortiz-ang@acf.hhs.gov
- Due to the US Constitutional separation of powers, the State Departments of Education do not have jurisdiction over these Schools. The Schools have the same status as Bureau of Indian Affair's entities. The States do have jurisdiction under State Law and Flores Settlement with other regulatory commissions and offices. www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/orr/partners/coordina1.htm

Summation: The Flores Settlement <u>clearly</u> establishes the educational entity that must be onsite at each location. SW Key identifies these School Entities for grant application purposes and includes grants outside the E-rate Program. The Schools are not divorced from the Programs. The third party verification persons at DUCS can confirm that these are long term care facilities and they meet the education requirements set forth under Federal Law. State regulatory agencies can also confirm facility locations on their web sites.

❖ Simple stated The Flores Settlement establishes the schools by Federal Law. DUCS verifies SW Key, through its facilities, is in compliance with the Flores Settlement. State regulatory agencies can confirm locations and compliance with State Laws.

Debra Weisner

de weisner@msn.com

505 598 6442 Office

505 596 0049 fax

Southwest Key Schools list

12/19/05

The following are SW Key Facilities as they are registered for Federal e-rate funded grants.

Program 100:

SW Key Schools Administration

Entity: 14255719 FCC RN 12877700

Program 946:

Esperanza School 504 E. Washington St. Brownsville, TX 78520 956 546 0373 956 544 7286 Entity# 214165 RN 0012875324 TEA Campus 013-901-609 ESC-1

Program 941:

Casa San Diego 9780 Dunbar Lane El Cajon, CA 92021 619 561 8678 School Casa SD cont. 619 561 9343 fax Entity# 12789502 FCC RN 0012875217

Program 946-2:

Casa Esperanza 7900 Mesa Dr. Houston, TX 77028 713 631 8100 713 631 8190 fax Entity# 12789524 FCC RN 0012875050

Program 928:

Casa Phoenix 2613 West Campbell Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85017 602 841 1038 Entity# 12789554 FCC RN 0012875167

Program 928-2:

Casa Arizona 2932 N. 14th St. Phoenix, AZ 85014 RN 0012875399 602 230 9194 Entity# 16026957

Program 929:

Casa El Paso West 400 Talbot Canutillo, TX 89835 915 877 3317 Entity#16025292 FCC RN 0012875399

Program 949:

Casa Pleasant Hill (UAMP) 808 Grayson Rd. Pleasant Hill, CA 94523 Contra Costa County 30 Students K-12 #16033206 RN 0014015986 Ernesto Tapia, Dir. ernestot949@yahoo.com 925 935 2740 School 925 935 2744 fax

Program 952:

Casa Conroe (UAMP) Formerly Casa Delaware 10393 League Lane Rd. Conroe, TX 77034 Montgomery County 64 K-12 #16026280 RN 0012875373 Elvira Mata, Dir. 936 512 4000 School 936 539 4685 fax

Kent Weisner Project Engineer, E-rate, SW Key; Debra Weisner P-1 Spec. E-rate 505 598 6442 office 505 598-0049 fax weisken@hotmail.com

Attachment 1.

This is a letter from Susana Ortiz-Ang confirming these programs must be in compliance with the Flores Settlement. Also establishing what section of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 they are legally placed under (Section 462) by the US Congress.

Kent Weisner

From: "Ortiz-Ang.

"Ortiz-Ang, Susana (ACF)" <SOrtiz-Ang@acf.hhs.gov>

To: "Kent Weisner" <weisken@hotmail.com>

Cc: <scavazos@swkey.org>

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 8:30 AM

Subject: RE: SW Key

Dear Mr. Weisner:

Thank you for keeping us informed.

There are a couple of things in your document that need correction. The Division of Unaccompanied Children's Services is not under the Department of Homeland Security but the US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Also, section 462 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 is the legislative authority under which this program was established by Congress. We are bound by the Flores Settlement Agreement in regards to the type of care and services the children get, but our legislative authority comes from section 462.

Regards, Susana

Susana M. Ortiz-Ang

Office of Refugee Resettlement
Division of Unaccompanied Children Services

Tel: (202) 401-5329 Fax: (202) 401-1022

----Original Message----

From: Kent Weisner [mailto:weisken@hotmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 2:50 PM

To: Ortiz-Ang, Susana (ACF)

Subject: SW Key

Dear Ms Ortiz-Ang:

Ms Dunn informed me last Friday that you and her were the persons to contact with questions about the UAC programs. We are writing grants through the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to bring eductional technology into our facility's classrooms. The attachment explains what we are doing and how. I thought it important that you be informed.

Today we received a request from the grant authority (USAC) for a letter confirming the onsite Schools which we are getting grants for. I have forwarded this to Dr. Cavazos and he will make the request. (Chain of command)

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely, Kent weisner Project Engineer, E-rate SW Key 505 598 6442 office

Attachment 2.

This is the Arizona Department of Health Services website printout showing that a Southwest Key facility (Casa Arizona) is at that location.





ADHS Home | ADHS Licensing Services | Site Index

Search Home

Search Results: "Behavioral Health Facilities"

Displaying 1 to 4 of 4 records

Provider	Address
SOUTHWEST KEY PROGRAM INC	2702 NORTH 3RD STREET, SUITE 3030, PHOENIX, AZ 85004
SOUTHWEST KEY PROGRAM INC	2932 NORTH 14TH STREET, PHOENIX, AZ 85007
SOUTHWEST KEY PROGRAM INC	7685 WEST SAN MIGUEL AVENUE, GLENDALE, AZ 85303
SOUTHWEST KEY PROGRAM/ UNACCOMPANIED MINORS SHELTER	2613 WEST CAMPBELL AVENUE, PHOENIX, AZ 85017

Page 1

ADHS Web Privacy Policy.
Copyright 2005 Anzona Department or Health Services. All rights reserved.
General comments, questions, or concerns: ADHS Webmaster





ADHS Home | ADHS Licensing Services | Site Index

Search Home

Behavioral Health Facilities Report (as of April 24, 2006)

This report is published by the ADHS Division of Licensing Services. For assistance, contact BEHAVIORAL HEALTH at Phone (602) 364-2595; Fax (602) 364-4801.

Name:

SOUTHWEST KEY PROGRAM INC

Address:

2932 NORTH 14TH STREET

PHOENIX AZ 85007

Phone:

(602) 230-9194

Fax:

(602) 264-5661

Facility ID:

BH2542

License:

BH-2542

Provider Type: LEVEL 4 TRANSITIONAL AGENCY

Arizona Enforcement Actions (if any from 04/05 through 3/06):

ADHS Web Privacy Policy. Copyright 2005 Arizona Department of Health Services. All rights reserved. General comments, questions, or concerns: ADHS Webmaster

Attachment 3.

Flores v Ashcroft. This, along with Flores v Meese and Flores v Reno, is the Federal Court mandated document (s) that are collectively known as the Flores Settlement.

×

Nationwide settlement regulating INS treatment of detained minors: Flores v. Ashcroft.

Center for Human Rights & Constitutional Law

Flores v. Meese: Final Text of Settlement Establishing Minimum Standards and Conditions for Housing and Release of Juveniles in INS Custody

CENTER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS & CONSTITUTIONAL LAW Carlos Holguín Peter A. Schey

256 South Occidental Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90057 (213) 388-8693

NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW Alice Bussiere James Morales 114 Sansome Street, Suite 905 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 453-3307

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Michael Johnson Assistant United States Attorney 300 N. Los Angeles St., Rm. 7516 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Allen Hausman Office of Immigration Litigation Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044

Attorneys for Defendants

Additional counsel listed next page

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

```
JENNY LISETTE FLORES, et al., ) Case No. CV 85-4544-RJK(Px)
Plaintiffs, ) Stipulated Settlement
) Agreement
-vs- )
)
JANET RENO, Attorney General)
of the United States, et al., )
Defendants.)
Plaintiffs Additional Counsel:
ACLU Foundation of Southern California
Mark Rosenbaum
Sylvia Argueta
1616 Beverly Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Telephone: (213) 977-9500
STREICH LANG
Susan G. Boswell
Jeffrey Willis
1500 Bank of America Plaza
33 North Stone Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85701
Telephone: (602) 770-8700
```

Defendants Additional Counsel:
Arthur Strathern
Mary Jand Candaux
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Immigration & Naturalization Service
425 I St. N.W.
Washington, DC 20536

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have filed this action against Defendants, challenging, *inter alia*, the constitutionality of Defendants' policies, practices and regulations regarding the detention and release of unaccompanied minors taken into the custody of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) in the Western Region; and

WHEREAS, the district court has certified this case as a class action on behalf of all minors apprehended by the INS in the Western Region of the United States; and

WHEREAS, this litigation has been pending for nine (9) years, all parties have conducted extensive discovery, and the United States Supreme Court has upheld the constitutionality of the challenged IN regulations on their face and has remanded for further proceedings consistent with its opinion; and

WHEREAS, on November 30, 1987, the parties reached a settlement agreement requiring that minors in INS custody in the Western Region be housed in facilities meeting certain standards, including stat standards for the housing and care of dependent children, and Plaintiffs' motion to enforce compliance with that settlement is currently pending before the court; and

WHEREAS, a trial in this case would be complex, lengthy and costly to all parties concerned, and the decision of the district court would be subject to appeal by the losing parties with the final outcome uncertain; and

WHEREAS, the parties believe that settlement of this action is in their best interests and best serves the interests of justice by avoiding a complex, lengthy and costly trial, and subsequent appeals which could last several more years;

NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants enter into this Stipulated Settlement Agreement (the Agreement), stipulate that it constitutes a full and complete resolution of the issues raised in this action, and agree to the following:

I DEFINITIONS

As used throughout this Agreement the following definitions shall apply:

- 1. The term "party" or "parties" shall apply to Defendants and Plaintiffs. As the term applies to Defendants, it shall include their agents, employees, contractors and/or successors in office. As the term applies to Plaintiffs, it shall include all class members.
- 2. The term "Plaintiff" or "Plaintiffs" shall apply to the named plaintiffs and all class members.
- 3. The term "class member" or "class members" shall apply to the persons defined in Paragraph 10 below.
- 4. The term "minor" shall apply to any person under the age of eighteen (18) years who is detained in the legal custody of the INS. This Agreement shall cease to apply to any person who has reached the age of eighteen years. The term "minor" shall not include an emancipated minor or an individual who has been incarcerated due to a conviction for a criminal offense as an adult. The INS shall treat all persons who are under the age of eighteen but not included within the definition of "minor" as adults for all purposes, including release on bond or recognizance.
- 5. The term "emancipated minor" shall refer to any minor who has been determined to be emancipate in an appropriate state judicial proceeding.
- 6. The term "licensed program" shall refer to any program, agency or organization that is licensed by a appropriate State agency to provide residential, group, or foster care services for dependent children, including a program operating group homes, foster homes, or facilities for special needs minors. A licensed program must also meet those standards for licensed programs set forth in Exhibit 1 attached hereto. All homes and facilities operated by licensed programs, including facilities for special needs minors, shall be non-secure as required under state law; provided, however, that a facility for special needs minors may maintain that level of security permitted under state law which is necessary for the protection of a minor or others in appropriate circumstances, *e.g.*, cases in which a minor has drug or alcohol problems or is mentally ill. The INS shall make reasonable efforts to provide licensed placements in those geographical areas where the majority of minors are apprehended, such as southern California, southeast Texas, southern Florida and the northeast corridor.
- 7. The term "special needs minor" shall refer to a minor whose mental and/or physical condition requires special services and treatment by staff. A minor may have special needs due to drug or alcoholouse, serious emotional disturbance, mental illness or retardation, or a physical condition or chronic illness that requires special services or treatment. A minor who has suffered serious neglect or abuse may be considered a minor with special needs if the minor requires special services or treatment as a result of the neglect or abuse. The INS shall assess minors to determine if they have special needs and if so, shall place such minors, whenever possible, in licensed programs in which the INS places childre without special needs, but which provide services and treatment for such special needs.
- 8. The term "medium security facility" shall refer to a facility that is operated by a program, agency or organization licensed by an appropriate State agency and that meets those standards set forth in Exhibit 1 attached hereto. A medium security facility is designed for minors who require close supervision but do not need placement in juvenile correctional facilities. It provides 24-hour awake supervision, custody, care, and treatment. It maintains stricter security measures, such as intensive staff supervision, than a facility operated by a licensed program in order to control problem behavior and to prevent escape. Such a facility may have a secure perimeter but shall not be equipped internally

with major restraining construction or procedures typically associated with correctional facilities.

II SCOPE OF SETTLEMENT, EFFECTIVE DATE, AND PUBLICATION

9. This Agreement sets out nationwide policy for the detention, release, and treatment of minors in the custody of the INS and shall supersede all previous INS policies that are inconsistent with the terms o this Agreement. This Agreement shall become effective upon final court approval, except that those terms of this Agreement regarding placement pursuant to Paragraph 19 shall not become effective unt all contracts under the Program Announcement referenced in Paragraph 20 below are negotiated and implemented. The INS shall make its best efforts to execute these contracts within 120 days after the court's final approval of this Agreement. However, the INS will make reasonable efforts to comply wit Paragraph 19 prior to full implementation of all such contracts. Once all contracts under the Program Announcement referenced in Paragraph 20 have been implemented, this Agreement shall supersede the agreement entitled Memorandum of Understanding Re Compromise of Class Action: Conditions of Class Action: Clas Detention (hereinafter "MOU"), entered into by and between the Plaintiffs and Defendants and filed with the United States District Court for the Central District of California on November 30, 1987, and the MOU shall thereafter be null and void. However, Plaintiffs shall not institute any legal action for enforcement of the MOU for a six (6) month period commencing with the final district court approval of this Agreement, except that Plaintiffs may institute enforcement proceedings if the Defendants havengaged in serious violations of the MOU that have caused irreparable harm to a class member for which injunctive relief would be appropriate. Within 120 days of the final district court approval of thi Agreement, the INS shall initiate action to publish the relevant and substantive terms of this Agreement as a Service regulation. The final regulations shall not be inconsistent with the terms of thi Agreement. Within 30 days of final court approval of this Agreement, the INS shall distribute to all IN field offices and sub-offices instructions regarding the processing, treatment, and placement of juveniles. Those instructions shall include, but may not be limited to, the provisions summarizing the terms of the Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

III CLASS DEFINITION

10. The certified class in this action shall be defined as follows: "All minors who are detained in the legal custody of the INS."

IV STATEMENTS OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY

11. The INS treats, and shall continue to treat, all minors in its custody with dignity, respect and special concern for their particular vulnerability as minors. The INS shall place each detained minor in the least restrictive setting appropriate to the minor's age and special needs, provided that such setting is consistent with its interests to ensure the minor's timely appearance before the INS and the immigration courts and to protect the minor's well-being and that of others. Nothing herein shall require the INS to release a minor to any person or agency whom the INS has reason to believe may harm or neglect the minor or fail to present him or her before the INS or the immigration courts wher requested to do so.

V PROCEDURES AND TEMPORARY PLACEMENT FOLLOWING ARREST

- 12. Whenever the INS takes a minor into custody, it shall expeditiously process the minor and shall provide the minor with a notice of rights, including the right to a bond redetermination hearing if applicable. Following arrest, the INS shall hold minors in facilities that are safe and sanitary and that are consistent with the INS's concern for the particular vulnerability of minors. Facilities will provide access to toilets and sinks, drinking water and food as appropriate, medical assistance if the minor is i need of emergency services, adequate temperature control and ventilation, adequate supervision to protect minors from others, and contact with family members who were arrested with the minor. The INS will segregate unaccompanied minors from unrelated adults. Where such segregation is not immediately possible, an unaccompanied minor will not be detained with an unrelated adult for more than 24 hours. If there is no one to whom the INS may release the minor pursuant to Paragraph 14, ar no appropriate licensed program is immediately available for placement pursuant to Paragraph 19, the minor may be placed in an INS detention facility, or other INS-contracted facility, having separate accommodations for minors, or a State or county juvenile detention facility. However, minors shall be separated from delinquent offenders. Every effort must be taken to ensure that the safety and wellbeing of the minors detained in these facilities are satisfactorily provided for by the staff. The INS will transfer a minor from a placement under this paragraph to a placement under Paragraph 19 (i) within three (3) days, if the minor was apprehended in an INS district in which a licensed program is located and has space available; or (ii) within five (5) days in all other cases; except:
 - 1. as otherwise provided under Paragraph 13 or Paragraph 21;
 - 2. as otherwise required by any court decree or court-approved settlement;
 - 3. in the event of an emergency or influx of minors into the United States, in which case the INS shall place all minors pursuant to Paragraph 19 as expeditiously as possible; or
 - 4. where individuals must be transported from remote areas for processing or speak unusual languages such that the INS must locate interpreters in order to complete processing, in which case the INS shall place all such minors pursuant to Paragraph 19 within five (5) business days.
- B. For purposes of this Paragraph, the term "emergency" shall be defined as any act or event that prevents the placement of minors pursuant to Paragraph 19 within the time frame provided. Such emergencies include natural disasters (e.g., earthquakes, hurricanes, etc.), facility fires, civil disturbances, and medical emergencies (e.g., a chicken pox epidemic among a group of minors). The term "influx of minors into the United States" shall be defined as those circumstances where the INS has, at any given time, more than 130 minors eligible for placement in a licensed program under Paragraph 19, including those who have been so placed or are awaiting such placement.
- C. In preparation for an "emergency" or "influx," as described in Subparagraph B, the INS shall have a written plan that describes the reasonable efforts that it will take to place all minors as expeditiously a possible. This plan shall include the identification of 80 beds that are potentially available for INS placements and that are licensed by an appropriate State agency to provide residential, group, or foste care services for dependent children. The plan, without identification of the additional beds available, is attached as Exhibit 3. The INS shall not be obligated to fund these additional beds on an ongoing basis. The INS shall update this listing of additional beds on a quarterly basis and provide Plaintiffs' counsel with a copy of this listing.
- 13. If a reasonable person would conclude that an alien detained by the INS is an adult despite his claims to be a minor, the INS shall treat the person as an adult for all purposes, including confinemen and release on bond or recognizance. The INS may require the alien to submit to a medical or dental examination conducted by a medical professional or to submit to other appropriate procedures to

verify his or her age. If the INS subsequently determines that such an individual is a minor, he or she will be treated as a minor in accordance with this Agreement for all purposes.

VI GENERAL POLICY FAVORING RELEASE

- 14. Where the INS determines that the detention of the minor is not required either to secure his or he timely appearance before the INS or the immigration court, or to ensure the minor's safety or that of others, the INS shall release a minor from its custody without unnecessary delay, in the following order of preference, to:
 - A. a parent;
 - B. a legal guardian;
 - C. an adult relative (brother, sister, aunt, uncle, or grandparent);
 - D. an adult individual or entity designated by the parent or legal guardian as capable and willing to care for the minor's well-being in (i) a declaration signed under penalty of perjury before an immigration or consular officer or (ii) such other document(s) that establish(es) to the satisfaction of the INS, in its discretion, the affiant's paternity or guardianship;
 - E. a licensed program willing to accept legal custody; or
 - F. an adult individual or entity seeking custody, in the discretion of the INS, when it appears the there is no other likely alternative to long term detention and family reunification does not appear to be a reasonable possibility.
- 15. Before a minor is released from INS custody pursuant to Paragraph 14 above, the custodian must execute an Affidavit of Support (Form I-134) and an agreement to:
 - A. provide for the minor's physical, mental, and financial well-being;
 - B. ensure the minor's presence at all future proceedings before the INS and the immigration court;
 - C. notify the INS of any change of address within five (5) days following a move;
 - D. in the case of custodians other than parents or legal guardians, not transfer custody of the minor to another party without the prior written permission of the District Director;
 - E. notify the INS at least five days prior to the custodian's departing the United States of such departure, whether the departure is voluntary or pursuant to a grant of voluntary departure or order of deportation; and
 - F. if dependency proceedings involving the minor are initiated, notify the INS of the initiation o a such proceedings and the dependency court of any immigration proceedings pending against the minor.

In the event of an emergency, a custodian may transfer temporary physical custody of a minor prior to securing permission from the INS but shall notify the INS of the transfer as soon as is practicable thereafter, but in all cases within 72 hours. For purposes of this Paragraph, examples of an "emergency" shall include the serious illness of the custodian, destruction of the home, etc. In all cases where the custodian in writing seeks written permission for a transfer, the District Director shall promptly respond to the request.

- 16. The INS may terminate the custody arrangements and assume legal custody of any minor whose custodian fails to comply with the agreement required under Paragraph 15. The INS, however, shall not terminate the custody arrangements for minor violations of that part of the custodial agreement outlined at Subparagraph 15.C above.
- 17. A positive suitability assessment may be required prior to release to any individual or program pursuant to Paragraph 14. A suitability assessment may include such components as an investigation the living conditions in which the minor would be placed and the standard of care he would receive, verification of identity and employment of the individuals offering support, interviews of members of the household, and a home visit. Any such assessment should also take into consideration the wishes and concerns of the minor.
- 18. Upon taking a minor into custody, the INS, or the licensed program in which the minor is placed, shall make and record the prompt and continuous efforts on its part toward family reunification and the release of the minor pursuant to Paragraph 14 above. Such efforts at family reunification shall continue so long as the minor is in INS custody.

VII INS CUSTODY

- 19. In any case in which the INS does not release a minor pursuant to Paragraph 14, the minor shall remain in INS legal custody. Except as provided in Paragraphs 12 or 21, such minor shall be placed temporarily in a licensed program until such time as release can be effected in accordance with Paragraph 14 above or until the minor's immigration proceedings are concluded, whichever occurs earlier. All minors placed in such a licensed program remain in the legal custody of the INS and may only be transferred or released under the authority of the INS; provided, however, that in the event of an emergency a licensed program may transfer temporary physical custody of a minor prior to securit permission from the INS but shall notify the INS of the transfer as soon as is practicable thereafter, but in all cases within 8 hours.
- 20. Within 60 days of final court approval of this Agreement, the INS shall authorize the United State: Department of Justice Community Relations Service to publish in the Commerce Business Daily and/or the Federal Register a Program Announcement to solicit proposals for the care of 100 minors i licensed programs.
- 21. A minor may be held in or transferred to a suitable State or county juvenile detention facility or a secure INS detention facility, or INS-contracted facility, having separate accommodations for minors whenever the District Director or Chief Patrol Agent determines that the minor:
 - A. has been charged with, is chargeable, or has been convicted of a crime, or is the subject of delinquency proceedings, has been adjudicated delinquent, or is chargeable with a delinquent act; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to any minor whose offense(s) fall(s) within either of the following categories:
 - i. Isolated offenses that (1) were not within a pattern or practice of criminal activity and (2) did not involve violence against a person or the use or carrying of a weapon (Examples: breaking and entering, vandalism, DUI, etc. This list is not exhaustive.)
 - ii. Petty offenses, which are not considered grounds for stricter means of detention

in any case (Examples: shoplifting, joy riding, disturbing the peace, etc. This list is not exhaustive.);

As used in this paragraph, "chargeable" means that the INS has probable cause to believe that the individual has committed a specified offense;

- B. has committed, or has made credible threats to commit, a violent or malicious act (whether directed at himself or others) while in INS legal custody or while in the presence of an INS officer;
- C. has engaged, while in a licensed program, in conduct that has proven to be unacceptably disruptive of the normal functioning of the licensed program in which he or she has been placed and removal is necessary to ensure the welfare of the minor or others, as determined by the staf of the licensed program (Examples: drug or alcohol abuse, stealing, fighting, intimidation of others, etc. This list is not exhaustive.);
- D. is an escape-risk; or
- E. must be held in a secure facility for his or her own safety, such as when the INS has reason to believe that a smuggler would abduct or coerce a particular minor to secure payment of smuggling fees.
- 22. The term "escape-risk" means that there is a serious risk that the minor will attempt to escape from custody. Factors to consider when determining whether a minor is an escape-risk or not include, but are not limited to, whether:
 - A. the minor is currently under a final order of deportation or exclusion;
 - B. the minor's immigration history includes: a prior breach of a bond; a failure to appear before the INS or the immigration court; evidence that the minor is indebted to organized smugglers for his transport; or a voluntary departure or a previous removal from the United States pursuant t a final order of deportation or exclusion;
 - C. the minor has previously absconded or attempted to abscond from INS custody.
- 23. The INS will not place a minor in a secure facility pursuant to Paragraph 21 if there are less restrictive alternatives that are available and appropriate in the circumstances, such as transfer to (a) medium security facility which would provide intensive staff supervision and counseling services or (t) another licensed program. All determinations to place a minor in a secure facility will be reviewed and approved by the regional juvenile coordinator.
- 24A. A minor in deportation proceedings shall be afforded a bond redetermination hearing before an immigration judge in every case, unless the minor indicates on the Notice of Custody Determination form that he or she refuses such a hearing.
- B. Any minor who disagrees with the INS's determination to place that minor in a particular type of facility, or who asserts that the licensed program in which he or she has been placed does not comply with the standards set forth in Exhibit 1 attached hereto, may seek judicial review in any United States District Court with jurisdiction and venue over the matter to challenge that placement determination

or to allege noncompliance with the standards set forth in Exhibit 1. In such an action, the United States District Court shall be limited to entering an order solely affecting the individual claims of the minor bringing the action.

- C. In order to permit judicial review of Defendants' placement decisions as provided in this Agreemen Defendants shall provide minors not placed in licensed programs with a notice of the reasons for housing the minor in a detention or medium security facility. With respect to placement decisions reviewed under this paragraph, the standard of review for the INS's exercise of its discretion shall be the abuse of discretion standard of review. With respect to all other matters for which this paragraph provides judicial review, the standard of review shall be *de novo* review.
- D. The INS shall promptly provide each minor not released with (a) INS Form I-770; (b) an explanation of the right of judicial review as set out in Exhibit 6, and (c) the list of free legal services providers compiled pursuant to INS regulation (unless previously given to the minor).
- E. Exhausting the procedures established in Paragraph 37 of this Agreement shall not be a precondition to the bringing of an action under this paragraph in any United District Court. Prior to initiating any such action, however, the minor and/or the minors' attorney shall confer telephonically or in person with the United States Attorney's office in the judicial district where the action is to be filed, in an effort to informally resolve the minor's complaints without the need of federal court intervention.

VIII TRANSPORTATION OF MINORS

- 25. Unaccompanied minors arrested or taken into custody by the INS should not be transported by th INS in vehicles with detained adults except
 - A. when being transported from the place of arrest or apprehension to an INS office, or
 - B. where separate transportation would be otherwise impractical.

When transported together pursuant to Clause (B) minors shall be separated from adults. The INS shall take necessary precautions for the protection of the well-being of such minors when transported with adults.

26. The INS shall assist without undue delay in making transportation arrangements to the INS office nearest the location of the person or facility to whom a minor is to be released pursuant to Paragraph 14. The INS may, in its discretion, provide transportation to minors.

IX TRANSFER OF MINORS

27. Whenever a minor is transferred from one placement to another, the minor shall be transferred with all of his or her possessions and legal papers; provided, however, that if the minor's possessions exceed the amount permitted normally by the carrier in use, the possessions will be shipped to the minor in a timely manner. No minor who is represented by counsel shall be transferred without advance notice to such counsel, except in unusual and compelling circumstances such as where the safety of the minor or others is threatened or the minor has been determined to be an escape-risk, or where counsel has waived such notice, in which cases notice shall be provided to counsel within 24 hours following transfer.

X MONITORING AND REPORTS

28A. An INS Juvenile Coordinator in the Office of the Assistant Commissioner for Detention and Deportation shall monitor compliance with the terms of this Agreement and shall maintain an up-to-date record of all minors who are placed in proceedings and remain in INS custody for longer than 72 hours. Statistical information on such minors shall be collected weekly from all INS district offices and Border Patrol stations. Statistical information will include at least the following: (1) biographical information such as each minor's name, date of birth, and country of birth, (2) date placed in INS custody, (3) each date placed, removed or released, (4) to whom and where placed, transferred, removed or released, (5) immigration status, and (6) hearing dates. The INS, through the Juvenile Coordinator, shall also collect information regarding the reasons for every placement of a minor in a detention facility or medium security facility.

- B. Should Plaintiffs' counsel have reasonable cause to believe that a minor in INS legal custody should have been released pursuant to Paragraph 14, Plaintiffs' counsel may contact the Juvenile Coordinator to request that the Coordinator investigate the case and inform Plaintiffs' counsel of the reasons why the minor has not been released.
- 29. On a semi-annual basis, until two years after the court determines, pursuant to Paragraph 31, that the INS has achieved substantial compliance with the terms of this Agreement, the INS shall provide t Plaintiffs' counsel the information collected pursuant to Paragraph 28, as permitted by law, and each INS policy or instruction issued to INS employees regarding the implementation of this Agreement. It addition, Plaintiffs' counsel shall have the opportunity to submit questions, on a semi-annual basis, to the Juvenile Coordinator in the Office of the Assistant Commissioner for Detention and Deportation with regard to the implementation of this Agreement and the information provided to Plaintiffs' counsel during the preceding six-month period pursuant to Paragraph 28. Plaintiffs' counsel shall present such questions either orally or in writing, at the option of the Juvenile Coordinator. The Juvenile Coordinator shall furnish responses, either orally or in writing at the option of Plaintiffs' counsel, within 30 days of receipt.
- 30. On an annual basis, commencing one year after final court approval of this Agreement, the INS Juvenile Coordinator shall review, assess, and report to the court regarding compliance with the term of this Agreement. The Coordinator shall file these reports with the court and provide copies to the parties, including the final report referenced in Paragraph 35, so that they can submit comments on the report to the court. In each report, the Coordinator shall state to the court whether or not the INS is in substantial compliance with the terms of this Agreement, and, if the INS is not in substantial compliance, explain the reasons for the lack of compliance. The Coordinator shall continue to report can annual basis until three years after the court determines that the INS has achieved substantial compliance with the terms of this Agreement.
- 31. One year after the court's approval of this Agreement, the Defendants may ask the court to determine whether the INS has achieved substantial compliance with the terms of this Agreement.

XI ATTORNEY-CLIENT VISITS

32. A. Plaintiffs' counsel are entitled to attorney-client visits with class members even though they ma not have the names of class members who are housed at a particular location. All visits shall occur in accordance with generally applicable policies and procedures relating to attorney-client visits at the

facility in question. Upon Plaintiffs' counsel's arrival at a facility for attorney-client visits, the facility staff shall provide Plaintiffs' counsel with a list of names and alien registration numbers for the minor housed at that facility. In all instances, in order to memorialize any visit to a minor by Plaintiffs' counsel, Plaintiffs' counsel must file a notice of appearance with the INS prior to any attorney-client meeting. Plaintiffs' counsel may limit any such notice of appearance to representation of the minor in connection with this Agreement. Plaintiffs' counsel must submit a copy of the notice of appearance by hand or by mail to the local INS juvenile coordinator and a copy by hand to the staff of the facility.

- B. Every six months, Plaintiffs' counsel shall provide the INS with a list of those attorneys who may make such attorney-client visits, as Plaintiffs' counsel, to minors during the following six month perio Attorney-client visits may also be conducted by any staff attorney employed by the Center for Human Rights & Constitutional Law in Los Angeles, California or the National Center for Youth Law in San Francisco, California, provided that such attorney presents credentials establishing his or her employment prior to any visit.
- C. Agreements for the placement of minor in non-INS facilities shall permit attorney-client visits, including by class counsel in this case.
- D. Nothing in Paragraph 32 shall affect a minor's right to refuse to meet with Plaintiffs' counsel. Further, the minor's parent or legal guardian may deny Plaintiffs' counsel permission to meet with the minor.

XII FACILITY VISITS

33. In addition to the attorney-client visits permitted pursuant to Paragraph 32, Plaintiffs' counsel ma request access to any licensed program's facility in which a minor has been placed pursuant to Paragraph 19 or to any medium security facility or detention facility in which a minor has been placed pursuant to Paragraphs 21 or 23. Plaintiffs' counsel shall submit a request to visit a facility under this paragraph to the INS district juvenile coordinator who will provide reasonable assistance to Plaintiffs' counsel by conveying the request to the facility's staff and coordinating the visit. The rules and procedures to be followed in connection with any visit approved by a facility under this paragraph are set forth in Exhibit 4 attached, except as may be otherwise agreed by Plaintiffs' counsel and the facility's staff. In all visits to any facility pursuant to this Agreement, Plaintiffs' counsel and their associated experts shall treat minors and staff with courtesy and dignity and shall not disrupt the normal functioning of the facility.

XIII TRAINING

34. Within 120 days of final court approval of this Agreement, the INS shall provide appropriate guidance and training for designated INS employees regarding the terms of this Agreement. The INS shall develop written and/or audio or video materials for such training. Copies of such written and/or audio or video training materials shall be made available to Plaintiffs' counsel when such training materials are sent to the field, or to the extent practicable, prior to that time.

XIV DISMISSAL

35. After the court has determined that the INS is in substantial compliance with this Agreement and

the Coordinator has filed a final report, the court, without further notice, shall dismiss this action. Unsuch dismissal, the court shall retain jurisdiction over this action.

XV RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

36. Nothing in this agreement shall limit the rights, if any, of individual class members to preserve issues for judicial review in the appeal of an individual case or for class members to exercise any independent rights they may otherwise have.

XVI NOTICE AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

37. This paragraph provides for the enforcement, in this District Court, of the provisions of this Agreement except for claims brought under Paragraph 24. The parties shall meet telephonically or in person to discuss a complete or partial repudiation of this Agreement or any alleged non-compliance with the terms of the Agreement, prior to bringing any individual or class action to enforce this Agreement. Notice of a claim that defendants have violated the terms of this Agreement shall be serve on plaintiffs addressed to:

CENTER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS & CONSTITUTIONAL LAW Carlos Holguín Peter A. Schey 256 South Occidental Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90057

NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW Alice Bussiere James Morales 114 Sansome Street, Suite 905 San Francisco, CA 94104

and on Defendants addressed to:

Michael Johnson Assistant United States Attorney 300 N. Los Angeles St., Rm. 7516 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Allen Hausman
Office of Immigration Litigation
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044

XVII PUBLICITY

38. Plaintiffs and Defendants shall hold a joint press conference to announce this Agreement. The INS shall send copies of this Agreement to social service and voluntary agencies agreed upon by the parties